


RECEIVED

2022 JULY 1 PM 6:47

CITY SECRETARY  
DALLAS, TEXAS



# City of Dallas

## Boards and Commissions Annual Report

2021 Redistricting Commission

July 1, 2022

---



# 2021 DALLAS CITY REDISTRICTING

**REDISTRICTING COMMISSION  
ANNUAL REPORT / FY 2021 – 2022  
October 1, 2021 – September 30, 2022**

## **Report Contents**

I.	Annual Report Memo	Section 1
II.	Redistricting Commission	Section 2
III.	Public Participation	Section 3
IV.	Communications & Outreach	Section 4
V.	Appendix	Section 5
	i.	2021 Redistricting Guidelines
	ii.	City Charter Provisions
	iii.	2021 Recommended Districting Plan Report



# 2021 DALLAS CITY REDISTRICTING

REDISTRICTING COMMISSION  
ANNUAL REPORT / FY 2021 – 2022  
October 1, 2021 – September 30, 2022

## Section 1

# Memorandum



CITY OF DALLAS

DATE July 1, 2022

TO Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council

SUBJECT **Redistricting Commission Annual Report FY 2021 - 2022**

In accordance with Section 8-1.1 of, Chapter 8, "Boards and Commissions," of the Dallas City Code, please accept this report of the Redistricting Commission's activities for the October 1, 2021 – September 30, 2022 fiscal year.

As required by the City Charter, the 2021 Redistricting Commission was fully appointed by the City Council in October 2021 and began its work in earnest.

This report details the Redistricting Commission's endeavors and accomplishments that culminated with the approval of a recommended districting plan, that was submitted to the mayor, as required by the Charter, on May 16, 2022.

On behalf of the entire Redistricting Commission, we would like to thank you for your continued support, commitment, and the opportunity to serve on this distinguished commission.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Jesse Oliver".

Jesse Oliver, Chairman  
2021 Redistricting Commission

c: 2021 Redistricting Commissioners  
T.C. Broadnax, City Manager  
Genesis Gavino, Chief of Staff to the City Manager  
Billierae Johnson, City Secretary  
Carrie Rogers, Director, Office of Government Affairs  
C.C. Gonzalez-Kurz, Government Affairs Manager, Office of Government Affairs



# **2021 DALLAS CITY REDISTRICTING**

**REDISTRICTING COMMISSION  
ANNUAL REPORT / FY 2021 – 2022  
October 1, 2021 – September 30, 2022**

## Section 2



# 2021 DALLAS CITY REDISTRICTING

**REDISTRICTING COMMISSION  
ANNUAL REPORT / FY 2021 – 2022  
October 1, 2021 – September 30, 2022**

## **REDISTRICTING COMMISSION**

### **Mission:**

To create a districting plan that is fair and equitable and represents all Dallas residents.

### **Vision:**

Community involvement and participation is critical to the success of the redistricting process. The Redistricting Commission encourages resident engagement to ensure a fair and equitable districting plan.



# 2021 DALLAS CITY REDISTRICTING

**REDISTRICTING COMMISSION  
ANNUAL REPORT / FY 2021 – 2022  
October 1, 2021 – September 30, 2022**

## **2021 Redistricting Commissioners**

Jesse D. Oliver (Chairman)  
Diane Ragsdale (Vice-Chair)

Robert Stimson  
Roy Carlos Lopez  
Gregory Demus  
Kebran Ware Alexander  
Domingo Alberto Garcia  
Ricardo Medrano  
Randall Bryant  
Brent M. Rosenthal  
Alan Walne  
Matthew R. Garcia  
Jonathan Neerman  
Barbara Brown Larkin  
Norma Minnis



# 2021 DALLAS CITY REDISTRICTING

## REDISTRICTING COMMISSION ANNUAL REPORT / FY 2021 – 2022 October 1, 2021 – September 30, 2022

### **Jurisdiction / Authorization:**

Mandated by the Dallas City Charter, the 2021 City Council Redistricting Plan is the culmination of the redistricting process or the redrawing of City Council district lines from which Council Members are elected. Redistricting usually takes place every 10 years after each U.S. Census and affects all jurisdictions that use districts including state legislative and congressional districts, county commissions, city councils, and school boards.

Upon the release of the 2020 census data, governing boards of local government bodies reviewed population changes and determined if districts were imbalanced. Out-of-balance electoral districts required the local government to rebalance the population among the districts.

As required by the City Charter, the City Council appointed a 15-member Redistricting Commission to develop the districting plan based on the latest decennial counts in compliance with the City Charter and federal law. Upon completion of its work, the Redistricting Commission filed the recommended districting plan with the mayor on May 16, 2022 for presentation to the City Council on May 18, 2022. The Council had 45 days after the districting plan was submitted to the mayor to adopt, or modify and adopt, a new districting plan. Any modification required approval by three-fourths of the City Council. If final action was not taken by the City Council within 45 days, the Redistricting Commission plan would become final. On June 27, 2022, the City Council modified the Redistricting Commission's recommended districting plan and adopted that modified plan by a vote of 14-0.





# 2021 DALLAS CITY REDISTRICTING

## REDISTRICTING COMMISSION ANNUAL REPORT / FY 2021 – 2022 October 1, 2021 – September 30, 2022

The new districting plan will be implemented at the next general election of the City Council, currently projected for May 6, 2023.

### **Accomplishments:**

- Held Redistricting Commission orientation on September 13, 2021.
- Held first official public meeting on October 6, 2021 and voted to meet biweekly through December 2021.
- Approved the 2022 meeting schedule, beginning January 2022 through May 2022, on November 8, 2021.
- Approved operational redistricting guidelines, plan criteria, and timeline on November 22, 2021.
- Held first of eight town hall public hearings on December 11, 2021.
- Began final plan selection process on April 25, 2022 and selected two plans for final consideration.
- Held final public hearing on May 7, 2022.
- Selected final recommended districting plan on May 10, 2022.
- Submitted final recommended districting plan to the mayor on May 16, 2022.
- Briefed the City Council on the final recommended districting plan on May 18, 2022.



# **2021 DALLAS CITY REDISTRICTING**

**REDISTRICTING COMMISSION  
ANNUAL REPORT / FY 2021 – 2022  
October 1, 2021 – September 30, 2022**

## Section 3



# 2021 DALLAS CITY REDISTRICTING

## REDISTRICTING COMMISSION ANNUAL REPORT / FY 2021 – 2022 October 1, 2021 – September 30, 2022

### PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

Community involvement and participation was critical to the success of the Redistricting Commission.

- 223 plans created through the Redistricting Commission's public website using "Districtr" map creation software.
- 44 plans submitted by the public for the Redistricting Commission's consideration.
- 32 plans met the Redistricting Commission's criteria.
- 17 plans advanced for further consideration.

### Public Meetings

The Commission encouraged residents to attend public meetings and offer public comment. Residents were given an option to attend meetings virtually and in person, following all pandemic health protocols. Six of the eight town halls were held at recreation centers throughout the city, allowing residents to participate in-person within their communities.

Redistricting Commission Meetings		
Type of Meeting	Total	Dates
Orientation	1	Sep. 13, 2021
Commission Meetings	21	Sep. 2021 – May 2022
Town Halls	8	Dec. 2021 – Feb. 2022
Final Public Hearing	1	May 7, 2022
<b>Total Meetings</b>	<b>31</b>	



# **2021 DALLAS CITY REDISTRICTING**

**REDISTRICTING COMMISSION  
ANNUAL REPORT / FY 2021 – 2022  
October 1, 2021 – September 30, 2022**

## Section 4



# 2021 DALLAS CITY REDISTRICTING

**REDISTRICTING COMMISSION**  
**ANNUAL REPORT / FY 2021 – 2022**  
**October 1, 2021 – September 30, 2022**

## **COMMUNICATIONS & OUTREACH**

The Office of Government Affairs (OGA) worked with Communications Outreach and Marketing (COM) to create a multicultural advertising campaign, promoting the redistricting process with these calls to action:

- Create and submit your own maps at [DallasRedistricting.com](http://DallasRedistricting.com)
- Attend a regular public meeting and sign up to offer public comment
- Attend a town hall within your community at a local recreation center and sign up to offer public comment
- Communicate directly with your Redistricting Commissioner via email. (OGA created emails for each Commissioner and posted them on the website)
- Offer feedback by calling the redistricting hotline at (214) 671-5197 for those residents without internet access

## **Public Relations**

Together with COM, OGA garnered dozens of earned media mentions on the redistricting process using the following communication tactics:

- Social media
- Text Alerts
- Public Service Announcements– Staff created a social media campaign, promoting these PSAs featuring Commissioners and their constituents, encouraging residents to get involved.
- Eblasts
- Blogs
- In-kind outdoor advertising & Waterbill Inserts



# 2021 DALLAS CITY REDISTRICTING

**REDISTRICTING COMMISSION  
ANNUAL REPORT / FY 2021 – 2022  
October 1, 2021 – September 30, 2022**

## **Multicultural Advertising**

Staff invested \$26K in a multicultural advertising campaign to ensure all residents heard about the process and were encouraged to participate.

## **Language Access**

All redistricting collateral were fully bilingual, offered in English and Spanish. Spanish and American Sign Language (ASL) interpreters were available during open meetings.

## **Digital**

Residents were encouraged to visit [DallasRedistricting.com](https://www.DallasRedistricting.com) for the very latest information on the redistricting process and ways to get engaged, including submitting their very own map. From October 2021 to May 2022 the Commission received over 38,900 visitors to the website, with approximately 238 daily visitors.

## **Bridging the Digital Divide**

A bilingual redistricting hotline was established in October 2021 for those residents who didn't have digital access who were unable to participate.

TO SEE ALL TOWN HALL DATES, TIMES, LOCATIONS OR FOR MORE INFORMATION

[www.DallasRedistricting.com](https://www.DallasRedistricting.com)  
or call 214-671-5197



# **2021 DALLAS CITY REDISTRICTING**

**REDISTRICTING COMMISSION  
ANNUAL REPORT / FY 2021 – 2022  
October 1, 2021 – September 30, 2022**

## Section 5



# 2021 DALLAS CITY REDISTRICTING

**REDISTRICTING COMMISSION  
ANNUAL REPORT / FY 2021 – 2022  
October 1, 2021 – September 30, 2022**

## **2021 REDISTRICTING GUIDELINES**

The Redistricting Commission adopted the following guidelines on November 22, 2021 and applied them as consistently as possible and to the greatest extent possible, in the drawing of city council district boundaries that are in the best interests of the residents of the City of Dallas. The Redistricting Commission acknowledges, however, that on some occasions these redistricting guidelines may conflict with one another.

A. The following guidelines regarding Population Equality, Minority Representation, Contiguity and Compactness, Incumbents, and Communities of Interest shall be applied as required by the United States Constitution, the Voting Rights Act, and other federal and state laws.

### **1. Population Equality**

The districts shall be substantially equal in population according to the total population count as presented in the census data, except where deviation is required to comply with federal law or is allowable by law.

The total deviation between the largest and the smallest district must be as small as possible but should be less than 10%.

Minor population deviations may be allowed if they are necessary to achieve a good faith, legitimate objective, such as:

- Preserving the voting strength of minority populations in compliance with the Voting Rights Act
- Making the districts compact





# 2021 DALLAS CITY REDISTRICTING

## REDISTRICTING COMMISSION ANNUAL REPORT / FY 2021 – 2022 October 1, 2021 – September 30, 2022

- Maintaining communities of interest in a single district and avoiding splitting neighborhoods
- Using public school attendance boundaries as defined by the independent school districts in the City of Dallas to assist in defining neighborhoods
- Preserving the cores of existing districts as permitted by case law, meaning to recognize the traditional geographic, economic, cultural, or social center of an existing district as supported by public testimony
- Following easily identifiable geographic boundaries and other unique geographical configurations

### **2. Minority Representation**

In addition to the requirements of federal law, there shall be no discrimination on the basis of race, color, or membership in a language minority group, and the voting strength of racial, ethnic, and language minorities in the districts shall not be diluted to deprive minority voters of an equal opportunity to elect a candidate of their choice.

Race, ethnicity, and language minority consideration cannot be the predominant factor to which other districting legal principles are subordinated.

The reconfiguration of districts may not create a retrogression of the rights of minority voters to elect a candidate of their choice.

A majority minority district may be created to provide fair representation to the members of racial, ethnic, and language minority populations where compelling justification requires such a district as determined by the following factors:



# 2021 DALLAS CITY REDISTRICTING

## REDISTRICTING COMMISSION ANNUAL REPORT / FY 2021 – 2022 October 1, 2021 – September 30, 2022

- A reasonably compact district can be drawn in compliance with the other redistricting guidelines in which voters of the minority group constitute a majority of the electorate and will increase the probability that members of the minority will be elected
- The minority community is politically cohesive and usually votes together
- Other voters in the area generally vote as a bloc to successfully defeat the minority community's preferred candidates.

### **3. Contiguity and Compactness**

The districts shall be geographically compact, to the extent possible, and composed of contiguous territory.

Compactness and contiguity involve both a functional and a geographic aspect and are defined by case law to include factors such as:

- Contiguity: No part of the district should be geographically separated from any other part of the district
- Compactness: A district is generally considered reasonably compact if it has a fairly regular geometric shape (circle, square, hexagon) with constituents all living relatively near to each other and having minimum distances between all parts of a constituency.

### **4. Incumbents**

The reconfiguration of districts shall be neutral as to incumbents or potential candidates.



# 2021 DALLAS CITY REDISTRICTING

**REDISTRICTING COMMISSION  
ANNUAL REPORT / FY 2021 – 2022  
October 1, 2021 – September 30, 2022**

## **5. Communities of Interest**

Communities of interest shall be placed in a single district and attempts should be made to avoid splitting neighborhoods, where possible without violating the other requirements.

“Neighborhoods” and “Communities of Interest” may be defined by:

- Geographically compact areas where there are people who share clearly recognizable similarities of social, political, cultural, ethnic, religious, or economic interests.
- Homeowner associations, neighborhood associations, crime watch groups and preservation/historic districts
- The existence of shared interests, including a history and tradition of working together and relating to each other
- The use of public-school attendance boundaries as defined by the independent school districts in the City of Dallas
- Boundaries that facilitate communications between constituents and their elected representatives
- Easily identifiable geographic boundaries such as highways, major thoroughfares, and natural waterways.



# 2021 DALLAS CITY REDISTRICTING

## REDISTRICTING COMMISSION ANNUAL REPORT / FY 2021 – 2022 October 1, 2021 – September 30, 2022

### DALLAS CITY CHARTER

#### CHAPTER IV. ELECTIONS AND REFERENDUMS

##### SEC. 5. DISTRICTS AND REDISTRICTING.

(a) The city shall be divided into 14 districts, known as Districts 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, and 14.

(b) Redistricting commission.

(1) Not later than 30 days after the city council is briefed on the federal decennial census taken in the prior year, each member of the city council shall appoint one member of the redistricting commission. The mayor shall designate the chair of the redistricting commission, subject to confirmation by a majority of the city council. In making such appointments, the city council and the mayor shall, as nearly as may be practicable, provide fair and balanced representation of all geographical areas of the city in the redistricting process and provide a total membership that reflects the racial and ethnic makeup of the city's population. Members of the redistricting commission shall be appointed to serve a term that will end upon completion of the redistricting commission's work.

(2) Persons appointed to the redistricting commission must be registered to vote and meet the qualifications for service on a city commission. A member of the city council is not eligible for appointment to the redistricting commission. A member of the redistricting commission is not eligible to be a candidate for a place on the city council in the next succeeding general election of the city, and may not be appointed or elected to the city council or to any other official board or commission of the city for a period of one year after service on the redistricting commission.

(3) The redistricting commission shall draw the districts in compliance with the following guidelines:



# 2021 DALLAS CITY REDISTRICTING

## REDISTRICTING COMMISSION ANNUAL REPORT / FY 2021 – 2022 October 1, 2021 – September 30, 2022

(A) The districts shall be substantially equal in population according to the total population count as presented in the census data, except where deviation is required to comply with federal law or is allowable by law.

(B) In addition to the requirements of federal law, there shall be no discrimination on the basis of race, color, or membership in a language minority group, and the voting strength of racial, ethnic, and language minorities in the districts shall not be diluted to deprive minority voters of an equal opportunity to elect a candidate of their choice.

(C) The districts shall be geographically compact, to the extent possible, and composed of contiguous territory.

(D) The reconfiguration of districts shall be neutral as to incumbents or potential candidates.

(E) Communities of interest shall be placed in a single district and attempts should be made to avoid splitting neighborhoods, where possible without violating the other requirements.

(F) The redistricting commission may adopt any other requirements of federal or state law.

(4) The redistricting commission shall promptly convene in such sessions as are necessary, including public hearings, to develop, prepare, and recommend a districting plan that proposes the respective boundaries of the various districts comprising the city council under this Charter.

(5) City council members may not have contact, directly or indirectly, with a redistricting commission member, or with redistricting commission staff, with respect to redistricting, except by testimony in an open meeting. Redistricting commission members may not engage in any discussions, directly or



# 2021 DALLAS CITY REDISTRICTING

## REDISTRICTING COMMISSION ANNUAL REPORT / FY 2021 – 2022 October 1, 2021 – September 30, 2022

indirectly, regarding redistricting or the work of the redistricting commission with city council members, except during an open meeting or by written communication given to the entire redistricting commission. If a redistricting commission member engages in a prohibited discussion or violates the Texas Open Meetings Act, the redistricting commission may, by majority vote, remove the commissioner from the redistricting commission.

(6) Upon completion of its work, the redistricting commission shall file its recommended districting plan with the mayor. The mayor shall present the recommended plan to the city council at its next meeting. The city council shall adopt the plan as submitted or shall modify and adopt the plan, in either case within 45 days of receipt by the mayor. Any modification or change to the plan must be made in open session at a city council meeting, with a written explanation of the need for the modification or change and a copy of the proposed map with the modification or change made available to the public 72 hours before a vote, and the proposed plan must be approved by a vote of three-fourths of the members of the city council. If final action is not taken by the city council within 45 days after the plan was presented to the mayor, then the recommended plan of the redistricting commission will become the final districting plan for the city.

(7) The districting plan developed in accordance with this section must be implemented at the next general election of the city council conducted at least 90 days following the date the final districting plan becomes effective for the city.



## City of Dallas 2021-22 Redistricting

### Analysis of Final Map COD-041-B to be submitted to the Mayor

**Plan Summary** – Plan ID: COD-041-B (Plan: Based on COD-041; By Cm. Norma Minnis with Amendments by Cm. Randall Bryant; Cm. Brent Rosenthal; & Cm. Bob Stimson)

**Date Approved by the Commission – 5-10-22**

**Current Status:** Meets the City of Dallas Redistricting Criteria; To be submitted to the Mayor

#### REVIEW CRITERIA FOR PLAN ACCEPTANCE

**1. Maximum Deviation Less than 10%:** Population Difference between most populated and least populated district divided by ideal population is less than 10%

**Plan meets Criteria**

**Plan does not meet Criteria**

Plan Max Deviation – 9.07%

**2. Contiguity:** All districts should be geographically contiguous.

**Plan meets Criteria**

**Plan does not meet Criteria**


**3. Unassigned Units – None**

**Plan meets Criteria**

**Plan does not meet Criteria**

**4. Other Map Characteristics for further evaluation:**

**1. No. of Minority Majority/Plurality Districts: 8**



NH-Black – 1(8)

Hispanic – 7  
(1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7)

(NH White – Yellow, Hispanic – Red; NH Black – Blue)

## Total Population by Race and Ethnicity

	Total Population	Deviation		Hispanic		NH-White		NH-Black		NH-Asian		NH-Other	
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
1	89,740	-3,430.00	-3.68%	68,037	<b>75.82%</b>	13,858	15.44%	5,447	6.07%	597	0.67%	1,345	1.50%
2	97,201	4,031.00	4.33%	43,554	<b>44.81%</b>	31,107	32.00%	14,272	14.68%	4,386	4.51%	2,904	2.99%
3	93,156	-14.00	-0.02%	42,140	<b>45.24%</b>	8,032	8.62%	38,887	41.74%	1,489	1.60%	1,651	1.77%
4	91,522	-1,648.00	-1.77%	45,332	<b>49.53%</b>	3,367	3.68%	40,422	44.17%	375	0.41%	1,340	1.46%
5	88,748	-4,422.00	-4.75%	69,745	<b>78.59%</b>	5,033	5.67%	12,639	14.24%	134	0.15%	803	0.90%
6	89,772	-3,398.00	-3.65%	62,920	<b>70.09%</b>	10,291	11.46%	12,969	14.45%	1,688	1.88%	1,184	1.32%
7	89,143	-4,027.00	-4.32%	41,422	<b>46.47%</b>	6,232	6.99%	38,722	43.44%	676	0.76%	1,417	1.59%
8	94,503	1,333.00	1.43%	43,053	45.56%	6,000	6.35%	43,224	<b>45.74%</b>	228	0.24%	1,295	1.37%
9	92,241	-929.00	-1.00%	27,751	30.09%	46,403	50.31%	9,938	10.77%	3,664	3.97%	2,757	2.99%
10	96,197	3,027.00	3.25%	19,423	20.19%	35,060	36.45%	31,879	33.14%	4,489	4.67%	2,529	2.63%
11	97,049	3,879.00	4.16%	31,545	32.50%	41,843	43.12%	14,691	15.14%	4,539	4.68%	2,798	2.88%
12	95,266	2,096.00	2.25%	18,640	19.57%	42,182	44.28%	19,850	20.84%	8,573	9.00%	3,473	3.65%
13	95,868	2,698.00	2.90%	23,665	24.68%	53,556	55.86%	8,572	8.94%	4,835	5.04%	2,327	2.43%
14	93,973	803.00	0.86%	13,947	14.84%	63,429	67.50%	7,252	7.72%	4,518	4.81%	3,705	3.94%
	1,304,379		9.07%	551,174	42.26%	366,393	28.09%	298,764	22.90%	40,191	3.08%	29,528	2.26%

## Total Voting Age Population by Race and Ethnicity

	Total Voting Age Population	Hispanic		NH-White		NH-Black		NH-Asian		NH-Other	
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
1	67,774	48,934	<b>72.20%</b>	12,272	18.11%	4,626	6.83%	597	0.88%	1,345	1.98%
2	80,798	32,593	<b>40.34%</b>	29,151	36.08%	11,764	14.56%	4,386	5.43%	2,904	3.59%
3	68,159	28,324	41.56%	7,125	10.45%	29,570	<b>43.38%</b>	1,489	2.18%	1,651	2.42%
4	65,664	29,412	44.79%	2,916	4.44%	31,621	<b>48.16%</b>	375	0.57%	1,340	2.04%
5	61,934	46,613	<b>75.26%</b>	4,470	7.22%	9,914	16.01%	134	0.22%	803	1.30%
6	66,580	43,816	<b>65.81%</b>	9,499	14.27%	10,393	15.61%	1,688	2.54%	1,184	1.78%
7	64,291	27,472	<b>42.73%</b>	5,449	8.48%	29,277	<b>45.54%</b>	676	1.05%	1,417	2.20%
8	64,148	26,575	41.43%	4,903	7.64%	31,147	<b>48.55%</b>	228	0.36%	1,295	2.02%
9	73,693	19,756	26.81%	39,621	53.76%	7,895	10.71%	3,664	4.97%	2,757	3.74%
10	71,621	13,196	18.42%	27,750	38.75%	23,657	33.03%	4,489	6.27%	2,529	3.53%
11	77,573	22,232	28.66%	36,153	46.61%	11,851	15.28%	4,539	5.85%	2,798	3.61%
12	77,516	13,756	17.75%	36,222	46.73%	15,492	19.99%	8,573	11.06%	3,473	4.48%
13	75,105	16,585	22.08%	44,469	59.21%	6,889	9.17%	4,835	6.44%	2,327	3.10%
14	84,849	11,698	13.79%	58,767	69.26%	6,161	7.26%	4,518	5.32%	3,705	4.37%
	999,705	380,962	38.11%	318,767	31.89%	230,257	23.03%	40,191	4.02%	29,528	2.95%

The Majority/Plurality District percentages are in bold




**2. No. of Neighborhoods splits - 42 out of 443 Neighborhood Associations**

**3. Compactness analysis for each district:**

District	Area:	Perimeter:	Reock	Polsby-Popper	Convex Hull Ratio:	Schwartzberg
District 1	16.01	25.69	0.34	0.30	0.79	0.55
District 2	18.38	50.26	0.12	0.09	0.36	0.30
District 3	48.54	64.15	0.25	0.15	0.62	0.39
District 4	20.86	21.98	0.34	0.54	0.85	0.74
District 5	19.97	22.39	0.35	0.50	0.84	0.71
District 6	42.08	71.78	0.16	0.10	0.43	0.32
District 7	24.67	40.50	0.21	0.19	0.54	0.43
District 8	54.40	64.15	0.17	0.17	0.61	0.41
District 9	58.62	118.02	0.12	0.05	0.31	0.23
District 10	16.43	21.69	0.38	0.44	0.85	0.66
District 11	15.17	24.40	0.31	0.32	0.73	0.57
District 12	14.71	21.35	0.30	0.41	0.80	0.64
District 13	23.80	34.86	0.27	0.25	0.69	0.50
District 14	9.69	22.44	0.28	0.24	0.68	0.49
<b>Average</b>	<b>27.38</b>	<b>43.12</b>	<b>0.26</b>	<b>0.27</b>	<b>0.65</b>	<b>0.49</b>

## Final Map COD-041-B by Cm. Norma Minnis; Amended by Cm. Bryant; Cm. Rosenthal; & Cm. Stimson



Sources: Esri, HERE, Garmin, USGS, Intermap, INCREMENT P, NRCan, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esri Korea, Esri (Thailand), NGCC, (c) OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

General Information	
Plan-ID	COD-041-B Cm. Norma Minnis; Amended by Cm. Bryant; Cm. Rosenthal; Cm. Bob Stimson
Plan Creator	Stimson
Submitted On	5/9/2010
Status	Meets Criteria
Deviation, Area and Perimeter	
Maximum Deviation	9.07%
Average Area	27.38
Average Perimeter	43.12
Average Compactness Scores	
Average Reock Score	0.26
Average Polsby-Popper Score	0.27
Average Convex Hull Score	0.65
Average Schwartzberg Score	0.49
Minority Majority Districts	
Number of Minority/Plurality Districts	8(1 NHB, 7 Hisp)
Number of Neighborhood splits	42

**Highways**

**Districts**

1	8
2	9
3	10
4	11
5	12
6	13
7	14

**ARCBridge**  
Consulting & Training, Inc.

	Total Population		Deviation		Hispanic		NH-White		NH-Black		NH-Asian		NH-Other	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
1	89,740	-3,430.00	-3.68%	68,037	75.82%	13,858	15.44%	5,447	6.07%	597	0.67%	1,345	1.50%	
2	97,201	4,031.00	4.33%	43,554	44.81%	31,107	32.00%	14,272	14.68%	4,386	4.51%	2,904	2.99%	
3	93,156	-14.00	-0.02%	42,140	45.24%	8,032	8.62%	38,887	41.74%	1,489	1.60%	1,651	1.77%	
4	91,522	-1,648.00	-1.77%	45,332	49.53%	3,367	3.68%	40,422	44.17%	375	0.41%	1,340	1.46%	
5	88,748	-4,422.00	-4.75%	69,745	78.59%	5,033	5.67%	12,639	14.24%	134	0.15%	803	0.90%	
6	89,772	-3,398.00	-3.65%	62,920	70.09%	10,291	11.46%	12,969	14.45%	1,688	1.88%	1,184	1.32%	
7	89,143	-4,027.00	-4.32%	41,422	46.47%	6,232	6.99%	38,722	43.44%	676	0.76%	1,417	1.59%	
8	94,503	1,333.00	1.43%	43,053	45.56%	6,000	6.35%	43,224	45.74%	228	0.24%	1,295	1.37%	
9	92,241	-929.00	-1.00%	27,751	30.09%	46,403	50.31%	9,938	10.77%	3,664	3.97%	2,757	2.99%	
10	96,197	3,027.00	3.25%	19,423	20.19%	35,060	36.45%	31,879	33.14%	4,489	4.67%	2,529	2.63%	
11	97,049	3,879.00	4.16%	31,545	32.50%	41,843	43.12%	14,691	15.14%	4,539	4.68%	2,798	2.88%	
12	95,266	2,096.00	2.25%	18,640	19.57%	42,182	44.28%	19,850	20.84%	8,573	9.00%	3,473	3.65%	
13	95,868	2,698.00	2.90%	23,665	24.68%	53,556	55.86%	8,572	8.94%	4,835	5.04%	2,327	2.43%	
14	93,973	803.00	0.86%	13,947	14.84%	63,429	67.50%	7,252	7.72%	4,518	4.81%	3,705	3.94%	
	1,304,379		9.07%	551,174	42.26%	366,393	28.09%	298,764	22.90%	40,191	3.08%	29,528	2.26%	

	Total Voting Age Population		Hispanic		NH-White		NH-Black		NH-Asian		NH-Other	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
1	67,774	48,934	72.20%	12,272	18.11%	4,626	6.83%	597	0.88%	1,345	1.98%	
2	80,798	32,593	40.34%	29,151	36.08%	11,764	14.56%	4,386	5.43%	2,904	3.59%	
3	68,159	28,324	41.56%	7,125	10.45%	29,570	43.38%	1,489	2.18%	1,651	2.42%	
4	65,664	29,412	44.79%	2,916	4.44%	31,621	48.16%	375	0.57%	1,340	2.04%	
5	61,934	46,613	75.26%	4,470	7.22%	9,914	16.01%	134	0.22%	803	1.30%	
6	66,580	43,816	65.81%	9,499	14.27%	10,393	15.61%	1,688	2.54%	1,184	1.78%	
7	64,291	27,472	42.73%	5,449	8.48%	29,277	45.54%	676	1.05%	1,417	2.20%	
8	64,148	26,575	41.43%	4,903	7.64%	31,147	48.55%	228	0.36%	1,295	2.02%	
9	73,693	19,756	26.81%	39,621	53.76%	7,895	10.71%	3,664	4.97%	2,757	3.74%	
10	71,621	13,196	18.42%	27,750	38.75%	23,657	33.03%	4,489	6.27%	2,529	3.53%	
11	77,573	22,232	28.66%	36,153	46.61%	11,851	15.28%	4,539	5.85%	2,798	3.61%	
12	77,516	13,756	17.75%	36,222	46.73%	15,492	19.99%	8,573	11.06%	3,473	4.48%	
13	75,105	16,585	22.08%	44,469	59.21%	6,889	9.17%	4,835	6.44%	2,327	3.10%	
14	84,849	11,698	13.79%	58,767	69.26%	6,161	7.26%	4,518	5.32%	3,705	4.37%	
	999,705	380,962	38.11%	318,767	31.89%	230,257	23.03%	40,191	4.02%	29,528	2.95%	

